

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the
Middle District of Alabama

United States of America

v.

Dante Cortez Helms

Date of Original Judgment: 08/04/2023

Date of Previous Amended Judgment: _____

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)

Case No: 2:23cr40-RAH

USM No: 43128-510

Andrew M. Skier

Defendant's Attorney

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED** and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____ .
(See Page 2 for additional parts. Complete Parts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted)

The defendant is not due an adjustment for Certain Zero-Point Offenders under Part B of Amendment 821 as he possessed a firearm in connection with the offense. USSG §4C1.1(a)(7). According to USSG §1B1.10(a)(2)(A), a reduction in the defendant's term of imprisonment is not consistent with this policy statement and therefore is not authorized under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) because none of the amendments listed in subsection (d) is applicable to the defendant.

Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of the judgment dated _____ shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: 11/22/2024


Judge's signature

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)

R. Austin Huffaker, Jr., U.S. District Judge

Printed name and title